OGC 72-1773

Approved For Release 2003/06/04 : CIA-RDP78-01092A000109050076-& ITIGATION, CIVIL

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20530

Address Reply to the Division Indicated and Refer to Initials and Number

> TEK: LB 60-235-38

December 1, 1972

Lawrence R. Houston, Esquire General Counsel Central Intelligence Agency Washington, D. C. 20505

United States v. International Business

Machines Corporation, 69 Civ. 200

Dear Mr. Houston:

Enclosed is a copy of Pretrial Order No. 4, as amended, entered in the captioned case on October 16, 1972. Because of the obligation which it imposes upon Government personnel I am bringing it to your attention for use in advising personnel in your agency as you may consider necessary.

While it is, of course, unlikely that statements or press releases on the subject of this case would be issued by your agency, conceivably some of your agency personnel might comment on the subject case in responding to a question posed by a newsman or similar interviewer. The Court recently had occasion to admonish an IBM official who had made a comment on the case in responding to a question posed to him at a public meeting. In that instance, the Court indicated that it was incumbent upon house counsel to see that the Court's order was respected by IBM officials.

In the event you have any questions on this matter I will be pleased to discuss them with you.

Sincerely yours,

RHOMAS Æ KAUPER Assistant Attorney General htitrust Division

Lewis Bernstein

Chief

Special Litigation Section

DOJ review(s) completed.

Enclosure

Approved For Release 2003/06/04: CIA-RDP78-01092A000100050016-8

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

69 Civ. 200

INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION.

Defendant.

PRETRIAL ORDER NO. 4 AS AMENDED

The parties having been heard and the Court being duly advised, in the interest of justice and accurate, objective, dispassionate and fair comment and news reporting of the proceedings in this action, it is hereby

ORDERED that plaintiff and defendant are restricted from disseminating news of any proceedings before this Court or of any matters relating to this action by press release, press conference, or interview with the press without the consent of the Court, except insofar as it is necessary to keep the public informed as to the progress of the case, as to the scheduling of proceedings before the Court and the existence of documents and transcripts on file with the Court, and except as convenience may suggest that quoting from the record is appropriate.

All proceedings before this Court in this action, unless otherwise ordered upon written motion for good cause shown, shall be open to any member of the news media and any member of the public. All information emanating from such proceedings shall be obtained by representatives of the press or by the public by attendance at such proceedings or from the documents and transcripts of the proceedings on file in the office of the Clerk of this Court.

Plaintiff and defendant may make available to the press or to the public a copy of any document, or permit the inspection of any transcript, which is on file with this Court, and may advise the press or public of the existence of such materials, but are prohibited from commenting on or characterizing such documents or transcripts, or the information contained therein, without the permission of the Court. It is the intent of this provision that the documents and transcripts on file in the office of the Clerk of this Court shall speak for themselves with respect to all proceedings in this action, and it is also the intent of this provision that neither party shall initiate contacts with the press or volunteer information with respect to this action, except insofar as it may be necessary to keep the public informed as to the progress of the case, the scheduling of proceedings before the Court and the existence of documents and transcripts on file with the Court, and except as convenience may suggest that quoting from the record is appropriate.

Except in unusual circumstances, it shall be the responsibility of each party to file with the Clerk of the Court an agenda of the principal matters it intends to discuss at any scheduled pretrial conference. With respect to deposition notices, the parties shall periodically file with the Clerk of the Court a list of persons they expect to depose in the forthcoming period. A telephone contact shall be designated by Department of Justice and by IBM, from whom any representative of the press may obtain answers to question as to deposition schedules and schedule changes, and places of such depositions.

Approved Fer Release 2003/06/04: CIA-RDP78-01092A000100050016-8

The provisions and prohibitions of this Order shall apply to all persons who have responsibility for, or who are in any way involved in, the handling of this action, including all potential witnesses employed by either party and all consultants employed by either party.

It is further provided that nothing contained herein shall prohibit the disclosure of any information required to be disclosed pursuant to the provisions of the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. Section 552).

October 16, 1972

DAVID N. EDELSTEIN Chief Judge UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

V.

INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION,

Defendant.

of Phoposeed Order Civil Action

69 Civ. 20C

PRE-TRIAL ORDER NO. 4

The parties having been heard, and the Court being duly advised, it is hereby

ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that, in plaintiff's production of documents from Government files pursuant to defendant's pending and any subsequent Demand or subpoena under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and specifically including the pending production pursuant to Pretrial Order No. 2, the following procedures shall govern the production and inspection until further order of this Court:

- 1. Documents produced for inspection and copying as aforesaid shall, where appropriate because the documents to be inspected include proprietary or classified material or both, identify and separate such documents into the following categories:
 - (a) "Classified Documents" documents which plaintiff has in the normal course of its operations classified as "Confidential," "Secret," "Top Secret," or which have been similarly classified.

Approved For Release 2003/06/04: CIA-RDP78-01092A000100050016-8

ROSCIS FRAM TUSTICE

- (b) "Proprietary Documents" documents which have been submitted by third parties in confidence and which contain information of a confidential or proprietary nature.
- (c) "Releasable Documents" all documents produced for inspection and copying other than "Classified Documents" and "Proprietary Documents."
- 2. "Classified Documents" may be inspected and copied on behalf of defendant only by duly authorized persons who have the requisite security clearances necessary for an examination of such classified material. All copies of such materials will be handled by defendant under appropriate security conditions until declassification has been effected or agreed procedures, satisfactory to this Court, have been adopted for the handling of such Classified Documents.
- for defendant's inspection and copying. Defendant shall not disclose any information obtained from such documents to any person other than an attorney engaged in the conduct of this litigation or a person assisting any such attorney in the conduct of this litigation.

 And In no event shall any such information disclosed in Referred to the proprietary Documents' be used for any purpose other than in the conduct of this litigation.
 - 4. "Releasable Documents" shall be freely made available to defendant for inspection and copying.

Blentary >1 stude ?